02:57:49.920864 IP 192.168.56.101.36286 > 192.168.56.103.sip-tls: Flags [P.], se q 34904:35597, ack 35219, win 1205, options [nop,nop,TS val 1514174486 ecr 15723 01], length 693 0x0000: 4500 02e9 dda3 4000 4006 684e c0a8 3865 E....@.@.hN..8e 0x0010: c0a8 3867 8dbe 13c5 49bf 2142 37dd f161 ..8g....I.!B7..a 0x0020: 8018 04b5 d869 0000 0101 080a 5a40 7816i....Z@x.

>Attacking encrypted VoIP protocols

0X0060:	dbe7	4a64	5737	b4e7	a3c6	1d5a	6c84	41c3	JdW7ZL.O.
0x0070:	8daf	0422	31fc	8177	ddab	1835	5256	e08d	"1w5RV
0x0080:	50a0	3aa7	94ca	1c48	bd7e	6ed7	f907	ba95	P.:H.~n
0x0090:	791e	f63a	9bb9	f084	9e25	a9db	c01f	1da2	y:%
0x00a0:	0814	b053	3587	6e07	5903	21e5	96be	d44b	S5.n.Y.!K
0x00b0:	56cb	1662	8a0c	7d2b	f952	5933	ae51	71b0	Vb}+.RY3.Qq.
0x00c0:	ef53	ad93	1653	f6bf	eb04	19f1	55a6	abc5	.SSU
0x00d0:	5d90	d49f	cf19	1cc9	053c	79ad	e019	ab35]5
0x00e0:	a9a3	abbe	dla4	c550	1211	2abb	9977	9b80	P*W
0x00f0:	aa7b	f38f	f2da	535f	02a2	3020	2d67	0ae9	.{S0a
HAXPO An	Ivica Stipovic								

Biography

- 1. Name>lvica [Eeveetsa] Stipovic
- 2. Work>Ward Solutions, Dublin, Ireland
- 3. Job>Information Security Consultant
- 4. Contact> <u>lvica.Stipovic@ward.ie</u>
- 5. >EOF

What is VoIP protocol ?

- VOIP stands for (Voice Over IP) Voice /Video/messaging that uses IP-based transport protocols for transmission
- What is SIP?
 - SIP stands for Session Initiation Protocol (it is a voice control protocol), developed by IETF
- SIP is one of the predominant VOIP control protocols



Source: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Session_Initiation_Protocol

SIP,MGCP,H.323,XMPP....

There are other VoIP protocols as well

- MGCP Media Gateway Control Protocol (MGCP), connection management for media gateways
- H.323 one of the first VoIP call signaling and control protocols that found widespread implementation
- XMPP Extensible Messaging and Presence Protocol, instant messaging, presence information, and contact list maintenance
- Skype protocol, proprietary Internet telephony protocol suite based on peerto-peer architecture

...etc

What applications are using VoIP?

This is a small snapshot of the most popular VoIP applications.

Not all of them use SIP, though: Skype uses proprietary protocol

Viber does use SIP, so as Blink, Cisco IP Communication, Jitsi, Bria, Empathy,Linphone,X-Lite, Zoiper,Yahoo! Messenger...



Best VoIP Apps for Your Desktop

- Stay in Touch with These Voice over IP Apps. VoIP, or Voice over Intern Protocol, is the hip way to talk on the phone. ...
- Skype. Skype boasts a huge user base, with more than 300 million subscribers. ...
- · Google Voice. ...
- Vonage. ...
- ooVoo. ...
- Viber. ...

Let's talk about SIP

- SIP by default runs as a UDP service over the port 5060
- By default, it is not encrypted
- Full description of SIP protocol is given in the <u>https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3261</u>
- SIP structure is very similar to HTTP session structure (both request and response paradigm)

Structure of a SIP session

Max-Forwards: 70

User-Agent: Jitsi2.10.5550Windows 10

Similar to HTTP

Request (REGISTER vs GET)

Response (Unathorised Return code 401)

Request (REGISTER vs GET)

REGISTER sip:192.168.0.116:5061 SIP/2.0 Call-ID: 2b0a036d62188707e8c1fa3f99d442eb@0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0 CSea: 1 REGISTER From: "demo-103" <sip:demo-103@192.168.0.116>;tag=fe23e8cf To: "demo-103" <sip:demo-103@192.168.0.116> Via: SIP/2.0/TLS 192.168.0.164:3565;branch=z9hG4bK-373232-13573379b4dc2c69a Max-Forwards: 70 User-Agent: Jitsi2.10.5550Windows 10 Expires: 600 Contact: "demo-103" <sip:demo-103@192.168.0.164:3565;transport=tls;register Content-Length: 0 SIP/2.0 401 Unauthorized Via: SIP/2.0/TLS 192.168.0.164:3565;branch=z9hG4bK-373232-13573379b4dc2c69aa6994b76a28d8af;r From: "demo-103" <sip:demo-103@192.168.0.116>;tag=fe23e8cf To: "demo-103" <sip:demo-103@192.168.0.116>;tag=as174e6496 Call-ID: 2b0a036d62188707e8c1fa3f99d442eb@0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0 CSeq: 1 REGISTER Server: Asterisk PBX 15.7.2 Allow: INVITE, ACK, CANCEL, OPTIONS, BYE, REFER, SUBSCRIBE, NOTIFY, INFO, P Supported: replaces, timer WWW-Authenticate: Digest algorithm=MD5, realm="asterisk", nonce="08e37204" Content-Length: 0 REGISTER sip:192.168.0.116:5061 SIP/2.0 Call-ID: 2b0a036d62188707e8c1fa3f99d442eb@0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0 CSeq: 2 REGISTER From: "demo-103" <sip:demo-103@192.168.0.116>;tag=fe23e8cf To: "demo-103" <sip:demo-103@192.168.0.116>

Attributes of a SIP session

We will focus on attributes required to facilitate password attacks. These are: algorithm, method, username, realm, uri, response, nonce, {nc}, {cnonce} and {qop}

SUBSCRIBE sip:demo-103@192.168.0.116 SIP/2.0 Call-ID: 7f8aa645e0eaa29432637916eacf4fac@0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0 CSea: 2 SUBSCRIBE From: "demo-103" <sip:demo-103@192.168.0.116>;tag=27f22122 To: "demo-103" <sip:demo-103@192.168.0.116> Max-Forwards: 70 Contact: "demo-103" <sip:demo-103@192.168.0.164:3565;transport=tls;registering_acc=192_168_0_116> User-Agent: Jitsi2.10.5550Windows 10 Event: presence.winfo Accept: application/watcherinfo+xml Expires: 3600 Via: SIP/2.0/TLS 192.168.0.164:3565;branch=z9hG4bK-373232-b62e3236d4729306c94af25ea7b34831 Authorization: Digest username="demo-103",realm="asterisk",nonce="5ef0fb06",uri="sip:demo-103@192.168.0.116",response="a35726f18b7e4d8e62648dc299f28241",algorithm=MD5 Content-Length: 0

Why TLS/encryption?

- wrapping SIP into TLS makes it more secure (HTTP vs HTTPS, POP3 vs POP3S, LDAP vs LDAPS etc.)
- interception of encrypted SIP will show obfuscated application layer payload
- only ip/tcp/udp header level information intelligible

Why TLS/encryption?

-challenges to pentesters that TLS presents – we want the application layer

Lo

A

ok

	255	38.962338	192.168.0.164	192.168.0.116	TPKT	215	Continuatio	n
	256	38.963635	192.168.0.116	192.168.0.164	TCP	60	5061 → 2945	[ACK]
	257	38.966307	192.168.0.116	192.168.0.164	TCP	60	5061 → 2945	[ACK]
	258	38.966902	192.168.0.116	192.168.0.164	TCP	60	5061 → 2945	[ACK]
	265	39.041986	192.168.0.116	192.168.0.164	TPKT	636	Continuatio	n
	267	39.081645	192.168.0.164	192.168.0.116	TCP	54	2945 → 5061	[ACK]
	268	39.157116	192.168.0.116	192.168.0.164	TPKT	652	Continuatio	n
	269	39.196799	192.168.0.164	192.168.0.116	TCP	54	2945 → 5061	[ACK]
	294	40.865386	192.168.0.164	192.168.0.116	TPKT	443	Continuatio	n
	304	41.068180	192.168.0.116	192.168.0.164	TCP	60	5061 → 2945	[ACK]
	⁼ rame :	294: 443 byt	es on wire (3544	bits), 443 bytes cap	tured (3544 bits)) on	interface 0	
	Ethern	et II, Src:	<pre>IntelCor_48:77:f</pre>	9 (3c:6a:a7:48:77:f9)	, Dst: IntelCor_8	80:65	5:d3 (18:56:8	80:80:
wer USI layers	Intern	et Protocol	Version 4, Src:	192.168.0.164, Dst: 1	92.168.0.116			
	ransm	ission Contr	rol Protocol, Src	Port: 2945, Dst Port	: 5061, Seq: 1307	79, A	Ack: 6387, Le	en: 38
	ГРКТ -	ISO on TCP	- RFC1006					
nlication layer	Cont	inuation da	ta: 1703030180ab	648c3bc196077d88363370	c44874b13a6a99c			
fuscated								
	0 01 0	00 f4 9a 00 0	0 17 03 03 01 80	ab 64 8c 3b c1 ·····	••••••d•;•			
	0 96 0	77 7d 88 36 3	3 7c 44 87 4b 13	a6 a9 9c 56 f1 ••}•63	D ·K····V·			
	0 34 9	9d 9d ef 1b d	e 5d bf bc dc 55	d3 2f aa 02 cd 4]••••••/•••			

SIP –two aspects of attacks

1st aspect : interception + decryption

-for unencrypted SIP sessions, one focuses only on interception

-in our case, we need to do both

2nd aspect : SIP password cracking -for unencrypted SIP sessions, off-the-shelf tools available in Kali -in our case, we need to develop either: -manual preparation of a file for sipcrack -our own tool to streamline the cracking (<= chosen approach)</p>

Attacking plaintext SIP passwords

-Kali with sipdump and sipcrack

-sipdump takes a pcap of a SIP session as input and generates a text file output for sipcrack

-sipcrack takes the text output from sipdump and performs password dictionary attack

```
root@kali:/projekti/mitm-relay# sipdump -h
SIPdump 0.2
Usage: sipdump [OPTIONS] <dump file>
      <dump file> = file where captured logins will be written to
      Options:
       -i <interface> = interface to listen on
      -p <file> = use pcap data file
                   = enter login data manually
       - m
      -f "<filter>" = set libpcap filter
* Invalid arguments
root@kali:/projekti/mitm-relay# sipcrack -h
SIPcrack 0.2
Usage: sipcrack [OPTIONS] [ -s | -w <wordlist> ] <dump file>
      <dump file> = file containing logins sniffed by SIPdump
      Options:
                    = use stdin for passwords
       - S
       -w wordlist = file containing all passwords to try
                    = print cracking process every n passwords (for -w)
       -p num
                      (ATTENTION: slows down heavily)
* Invalid arguments
root@kali:/projekti/mitm-relay#
```

Solution design-1st part (interception and decryption)

An idea of MITM occurred as one plausible attack vector

This is what we need to achieve:

- build a mechanism capable of intercepting and decrypting the TLS wrapped session
- search for some kind of protocol-agnostic proxy capable of decrypting TLS
- forward the traffic from this protocol-agnostic proxy to Burp so we can play with packets

Solution design-1st part (interception and decryption)

- Burpsuite does that job No. 1, but only for HTTP(S) it does not speak SIP or any other non-HTTP(S) protocols for that matter
- The Solution: mitm_relay.py (<u>https://github.com/jrmdev/mitm_relay</u>)

Solution design-1st part (interception and decryption)

-Topology design developed as below

-Key component: mitm_relay.py + Burpsuite running as SIP proxy on Kali
- you can have them on separate VMs too, also , no particular need for Kali – any linux will do, I guess



Chaining mitm_relay.py with Burp

-l 0.0.0.0 mitm_relay.py listens on all interfaces

-p 127.0.0.1:8080 Burp runs on localhost , port 8080

-r tcp:5061:192.168.0.101:5061 relay all traffic on tcp 5061 port to final SIP server running on 192.168.0.101, port 5061

-c mitm.pem digital certificate for mitm_relay.py

-k privatemitm.key private key for mitm_relay.py

root@kali:/projekti/VOIP# !174		
python /opt/mitm_relay.py +l 0.0.0.0 -p 127.0.0.1:8080 -r tcp	:5061:192.168.0.101:5061-c mitm.	pem -k privatemitm.
key 0.0.1:49999 POST /CLIENT_REQUEST/to/192.168.0.101/5 √		A
<pre>[l?] OCLienescent/keyPhot providedRequest/to/192.168.0.101/5</pre>		
<pre>[+] Webserver listening on ('127.0.0.1', 49999)</pre>	200 612 text	
[+] Relay listening on tcp 5061 -> 192.168.0.101:5061	200 689 Script	
[+] New client: ('192.168.56.1', 61984) -> 192.168.0.101:5061	200 755 script	
/127.0.0.1:49999 WhappingsesocketsPoNs5/from/192.158.0.1 ✓	200 791 script	
C >> S [192.168.56 1:61984 >> 192.168.0.101:5061] [Fri 05 /	Apr 17:55:44] ⁶ [267 ⁴] ⁴	
00000000; 16 03 03 01 06 10 00 01 02 01 00 30 8f ec a7 03	200	
00000010: af 4a a4 ff e5 c4 6c 32 a2 a3 d7 4d 7d b0 14 ac	J.J12 M} ^{7/5}	
00000020: 5a 5c 1a be c1 68 27 93 df ad ca 9d 74 5b 1c 78	Z\ht[.x] text	
00000030; ••7c 71 2f 60 0b b0 c4 29 61 1d ba f2 6f df 3e 0e	q/`.200)a03>. text	
00000040; *** 87 f0 46 29 8f 40 08 da 05 70 44 ba b5 22 17 35	[F).@0pD8"4.5 text	
00000050: 3f f1 e3 33 0c e8 0a df d9 f1 30 c1 2b ba f8 91	?3. ²⁰⁰ .0. ⁴¹¹ .script	N.
00000060: 03 37 9e 91 c0 d9 4b ab 2e 7b e2 48 47 de 49 20	.7K{.HG.I.	
00000070: c8 7e 76 c8 4d e4 6f 62 8a 0d 61 54 97 e2 7b 51	.~v.M.obaT{0	
00000080: b8 d0 0e 08 be c7 6c 4a 89 b7 47 10 fd 61 b5 f2	[lJGa]	
00000090: 74 ea 2d b7 3d 1a 09 bf 84 69 3c 8b 89 93 5a f3	t=i <z.< td=""><td></td></z.<>	
000000a0: f7 29 5b d2 1b a2 28 e7 f3 59 ae ba e3 3a f3 b4	j.)[(Y:j	
000000b0: e0 3b ad 97 al 8a 2c 7b 98 a2 11 bd f3 5b df 44	[.;{[.D]	A
000000c0: 3e 77 28 76 84 8c 6a 5b b9 89 c7 a4 ff e4 76 e6	>w(vi[v.	
000000d0: 01 ec 93 ce 13 5f 48 97 98 5b ae dc 0f 5b 3f 2f	I H[?/]	
000000e0: 597 ae 9e a6 2a a9 35 ab ed ae 44 77 07 f4 ee 69	[*.5Dwi]	
000000f0: 54 a8 ed 4e e3 80 a1 d5 8c fa 09 de f1 38 ad d5	ITN8]	
00000100: b4 67 cb 48 54 8f 2a 0e ec c5 07	.g.HT.*	
CRIBE		

Chaining mitm_relay.py with Burp

- If we switch over to Burp, we can see decrypted SIP negotiation
- POST requests have embedded "/CLIENT_REQUEST/to/<IP>" and "/SERVER_RESPONSE/from/<IP>"
- that allows Burp to process SIP Sessions (it only transports stuff, does not really speak SIP)

Jurp Intruder Repeater Window Help												
Targe	t Pro	y S	pider	Scanner	Intruder	Repeater	Sequencer	Decoder	Compar	er Exte	ender	Projec
Intero	ept H	FTP his	story	WebSock	ets history	Options						
ilter: Hiding CSS, image and general binary content												
# 🔺	Host				Method	URL				Params	Edite	d S
L	http://	.27.0.0	0.1:4999	99	POST	/CLIENT	_REQUEST/to/	192.168.0.1	101/5	~		2
2	http://:	27.0.0	0.1:4999	99	POST	/CLIENT	_REQUEST/to/	192.168.0.1	101/5	\checkmark		2
3	http://	27.0.0	0.1:4999	99	POST	/CLIENT	REQUEST/to/	192.168.0.1	101/5	~		2
1	http://	.27.0.0	0.1:4999	99	POST	/SERVE	RESPONSE/f	rom/192.10	58.0.1	<i>.</i>		2
-	http://.	27.0.0	0.1:4999	99	POST	/CLIENT	_REQUEST/to/	192.168.0.1	101/5	<i>,</i>		2
, 7	http://.	27.0.0	1:4999	99	POST	/SERVER	RESPONSE/	rom/192.10	58.0.1	×,		2
,	http://.	27.0.0	1:4999	99	POST	/SERVER	RESPONSE/T	rom/192.10	58.0.1	×,		2
, ,	http://	27.0.0	1.1.4993	99	POST	/CLIENT		192.100.0.1	58 0 1	ž		2
, .0	http://	27.0.0	1.4995	39	POST	/CLIENT	REGUEST/to/	192 168 0 1	101/5			2
1	http://	27.0.0	1.4990	99	POST	/SEBVE		rom/192.16	58 0 1	Ĵ		2
2	http://	27.0.0	1:4999	99	POST	/CLIENT	REQUEST/to/	192,168.0.1	101/5	Ĵ		2
13	http://	27.0.0	.1:4999	99	POST	/CLIENT	REOUEST/to/	192.168.0.1	101/5	ý		2
4	http://	27.0.0	.1:4999	99	POST	/CLIENT	REQUEST/to/	192.168.0.1	101/5	1		2
15	http://	27.0.0	.1:4999	99	POST	/SERVE	R RESPONSE/f	from/192.10	58.0.1	1		2
16	http://	27.0.0	.1:4999	99	POST	/CLIENT	REQUEST/to/	192.168.0.1	101/5	~		2
Requ	est Re	snonse	•						·			
		~	-	~								
Raw	Param	s He	eaders	Hex								
)ST /(LIENT	REQUES	ST/to/:	192.168.	0.101/5061	HTTP/1.1						
ost: 1	L27.0.0	.1:499	199 1 . d	ofloto								
Mitm	Relav-	To · 19	21p, ut 92 168	0 101.5	061							
-Mitm	Relav-	From:	192.10	68.56.1:	61987							
ontent	-Lengt	h: 751	1									
onnect	tion: c	lose										
IRCORT		. domo	10201	02 169 5	6 102 CTD	2.0						
лвоскі all.тr	LDE 51P	aemo.	- 102@1	92.100.5 142c7988	b6ca050b00	2.0	0.0.0					
311-10: 4323340405230101422/388062493404@01010101010101010												
rom: "demo-102" <sip:demo-1020192.168.56.103>;tag=3937750d</sip:demo-1020192.168.56.103>												
: "demo-102" <sip:demo-102@192.168.56.103></sip:demo-102@192.168.56.103>												
ax-Foi	wards:	70										
ontact	<pre>ntact: "demo-102" <sip:demo-102@192.168.56.1:61987;transport=tls;registering_acc=192_168_56_103></sip:demo-102@192.168.56.1:61987;transport=tls;registering_acc=192_168_56_103></pre>											
ser-Ag	er-Agent: Jitsi2.10.5550Windows 10											
ent:	ent: message-summary											
cept: application/simple-message-summary												
a: SI	<pre>s: 3000 a: SIP/2.0/TLS 192.168.56.1:61987;branch=z9hG4bK-3134-84296c6f16c0f022f365fd1c78e83979</pre>											

Chaining mitm_relay.py with Burp

- Mechanics of the interception
- SIP client ->mitm_relay.py->Burpsuite->SIP server->SIP client 2
- NOTE: remember that now that we have Burp reading the SIP, several other attacks can be mounted :
 - -send SIP request to Burp Repeater,
 - -change call destinations,
 - -brute force destination numbers,
 - -change user agent fingerprint,
 - -inject some funky headers/establish covert channel attack,
 - -spoof calling ID...
- Tampering in BURP is interesting, but out of scope of this research

Solution design - 2nd part (coding new app)

Why is new app required –what about sipdump and sipcrack?
 -sipdump will not work here –we have dumped the decrypted session
 into a non-pcap format

-sipdump has no way of importing the private key to decrypt the captured wireshark pcap session

-we can decrypt wireshark pcap, remember? – we possess the private key for mitm_relay.py

• What is new app doing?

-new app will process the mitm_relay.py dump which is more-less text based file and will extract all authentication attributes and perform password dictionary-based attack

Solution design - 2nd part (coding new app)

- Functional mapping of sipdump+sipcrack into sipcrack2
- Streamline mitm_relay dump parsing with password cracking
- How do we crack digest algorithm?

-https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2069.txt later ammended by RFC 2617

-{qop},{nc},{cnonce} increase the variability of hashing but will still not protect against our attack and all these attributes can be intercepted and decrypted

Solution design - 2nd part (coding new app)

- Digest authentication algorithm
- If {qop},{cnonce} and {nc} are not defined (RFC2069) then H1=MD5(username:realm:password) H2=MD5(method:uri) Response=MD5 (H1:nonce:H2)

Else if {qop},{cnonce} and {nc } are defined (RFC2617) then

Response=MD5(H1:nonce:nc:cnonce:qop:H2)

https://github.com/adenosine-phosphatase/sipcrack2

Final thoughts

- Development sipcrack2 is for now just a linux version, hope to release Windows version with CUDA/multithreading & parallel processing in a near future
- How realistic/difficult is the attack? The attack shown is relatively difficult to implement (ARP poisoning required to redirect the traffic from legitimate proxy to the attacker)
- Recommendations
 - -use strong passwords
 - -do not use self-signed certificates
 - -use client side certificate in addition to server
- Risk rating proposed: Medium



Questions?

Telling INIT to go to single user mode. init: rc main process (2205) killed by TERM signal [root@centos-4 /]# _

Shutting down