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Guided Fuzzer vs Embedded Systems
Fuzzing

- Automated software testing technique to find bugs
  - Feed crafted input data to the program under test
  - Monitor for errors like crash/hang/memory leaking
  - Focus more on exploitable errors like memory corruption, info leaking
- Maximize code coverage to find bugs
- Blackbox fuzzing
- Whitebox fuzzing
- Graybox fuzzing, or **Coverage Guided Fuzzing**
Coverage-guided fuzzer

- Instrument target binary to collect coverage info
- Mutate the input to maximize the coverage
- Repeat above steps to find bugs
  - Proved to be very effective
    ★ Easier to use/setup & found a lot of bugs
  - Trending in fuzzing technology
    ★ American Fuzzy Lop (AFL) really changed the game
Guided fuzzer for Embedded

- Guided fuzzer was introduced for powerful PC systems
- Bring over to embedded world?
  - Restricted system
  - Binary only (no source code)
  - Lack support for embedded hardware
Emulating Firmware
Emulating firmware for fuzzing

- Extract firmware of the target device
- Extract the target binary from firmware
- Run the target binary on Virtual machine on QEMU
  - Fix missing dependency (standard system binary, SO files, etc)
  - Emulate wireless device
  - Emulate NVRAM
Skorpio Dynamic Binary Instrumentation
Dynamic Binary Instrumentation (DBI)

Definition
- A method of analyzing a binary application at runtime through injection of instrumentation code.
  - Extra code executed as a part of original instruction stream
  - No change to the original behavior
- Framework to build apps on top of it

Applications
- Code tracing/logging
- Debugging
- Profiling
- Security enhancement/mitigation
DBI illustration
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DBI techniques

- Just-in-Time translation
  - Transparently translate & execute code at runtime
    - Perform on IR: Valgrind
    - Perform directly on native code: DynamoRio
  - Better control on code executed
  - Heavy, super complicated in design & implementation

- Hooking
  - Lightweight, much simpler to design & implement
  - Less control on code executed & need to know in advance where to instrument
Hooking mechanisms - Inline

- Inline code injection
  - Put instrumented code inline with original code
  - Can instrument anywhere & unlimited in extra code injected
  - Require complicated code rewrite
Hooking mechanisms - Detour

- Detour injection
  - Branch to external instrumentation code
    - User-defined **CALLBACK** as instrumented code
    - **TRAMPOLINE** memory as a step-stone buffer
  - Limited on where to hook
    - Basic block too small?
  - Easier to design & implement
Detour injection mechanisms

- Branch from original instruction to instrumented code
- Branch to trampoline, or directly to callback
  - Jump-trampoline technique
  - Jump-callback technique
  - Call-trampoline technique
  - Call-callback technique
Jump-trampoline technique

- original
- instrumented
- trampoline

1. Instruction
2. Save context
3. Jump
4. Save context
5. Call
6. Restore context
7. Reloc instruction
8. Jump
9. Callback
Jump-callback technique

![Diagram showing the jump-callback technique]

- **Instruction**
  - `...`
  - **Original**

- **Save Context**
  - **Instrumented**
  - `JUMP`
  - `...`

- **Restore Context**
  - **Callback**
  - `reloc instruction`
  - `...`

- **Jump**

---
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Call-trampoline technique
Call-callback technique

![Diagram showing the call-callback technique process]
Problems of existing DBI

- Limited on platform support
- Limited on architecture support
- Limited on instrumentation techniques
- Limited on optimization
SKORPIO framework

- Low level framework to build applications on top
  - App typically designed as dynamic libraries (DLL/SO/DYLIB)

- Cross-platform-architecture
  - Windows, MacOS, Linux, BSD, etc
  - X86, Arm, Arm64, Mips, Sparc, PowerPC

- Allow all kind of instrumentations
  - Arbitrary address, in any privilege level

- Designed to be easy to use, but support all kind of optimization
  - Super fast (100x) compared to other frameworks, with proper setup

- Support static instrumentation, too!
SKORPIO architecture
Cross platform - Memory

- Thin layer to abstract away platform details
- Different OS supported in separate plugin
  - Posix vs Windows
- Trampoline buffer
  - Allocate memory: malloc() vs VirtualAlloc()
  - Memory privilege RWX: mprotect() vs VirtualAlloc()
  - Trampoline buffer as close as possible to code to reduce branch distance
- Patch code in memory
  - Unprotect -> Patch -> Re-protect
  - mprotect() vs VirtualProtect()
Cross architecture - Save/Restore context

- Save memory/registers modified by initial branch & callback
- Keep the code size as small as possible
- Depend on architecture + mode
  - X86-32: PUSHAD; PUSHFD & POPFD; POPAD
  - X86-64 & other CPUs: no simple instruction to save all registers :-(
    - Calling convention: cdecl, optlink, pascal, stdcall,fastcall, safecall, thiscall, vectorcall, Borland, Watcom
    - SystemV ABI vs Windows ABI
- Special API to customize code to save/restore context
Cross architecture - Callback argument

- Pass user argument to user-defined callback
- Depend on architecture + mode & calling convention
  -SysV/Windows x86-32 vs x86-64
    - Windows: cdecl, optlink, pascal, stdcall, fastcall, safecall, thiscall, vectorcall, Borland, Watcom
  - X86-64: "mov rcx, <value>" or "mov rdi, <value>". Encoding depends on data value
  - Arm: "ldr r0, [pc, 0]; b .+8; <4-byte-value>"
  - Arm64: "movz x0, <lo16>; movk x0, <hi16>, lsl 16"
  - Mips: "li $a0, <value>"
  - PPC: "lis %r3, <hi16>; ori %r3, %r3, <lo16>"
Distance from hooking place to callback cause nightmare :-(

Some architectures have no explicit support for far branching

- X86-64 JUMP: "push <addr>; ret" or "push 0; mov dword ptr [rsp+4], <addr>" or "jmp [rip]"
- X86-64 CALL: "push <next-addr>; push <target>; ret"
- Arm JUMP: "b <addr>" or "ldr pc, [pc, #-4]"
- Arm CALL: "bl <addr>" or "add lr, pc, #4; ldr pc, [pc, #-4]"
- Arm64 JUMP: "b <addr>" or "ldr x16, .+8; br x16"
- Arm64 CALL: "bl <addr>" or "ldr x16, .+12; blr x16; b .+12"
- Mips JUMP: "li $t0, <addr>; jr $t0"
- Mips CALL: "li $t0, <addr>; move $t9, $t0; jalr $t0"
- Sparc JUMP: "set <addr>, %l4; jmp %l4; nop"
- Sparc CALL: "set <addr>, %l4; call %l4; nop"
Cross architecture - Branch for PPC

- PPC has no far jump instruction :-(
  - copy LR to r23, save target address to r24, then copy to LR for BLR
  - restore LR from r23 after jumping back from trampoline
  - "mflr %r23; lis %r24, <hi16>; ori %r24, %r24, <lo16>; mtlr %r24; blr"

- PPC has no far call instruction :-(
  - save r24 with target address, then copy r24 to LR
  - point r24 to instruction after BLR, so later BLR go back there from callback
  - "lis %r24, <target-hi16>; ori %r24, %r24, <target-lo16>; mtlr %r24; lis %r24, <ret-hi16>; ori %r24, %r24, <ret-lo16>; blr"

```c
SK_INLINE_NO static void bbb_hook(size_t v)
{
    // restore LR from R24
    __asm__("mtlr %r24");

    printf("== in callback, userdata = %zu\n", v);

    return;
}
```
Cross architecture - Scratch register

- Scratch registers used in initial branching
  - Arm64, Mips, Sparc & PPC do not allow branch to indirect target in memory
  - Calculate branch target, or used as branch target
  - Need scratch register(s) that are unused in local context
    - Specified by user via API, or discovered automatically by engine
Cross architecture - Flush code cache

- Code patching need to be reflected in i-cache
- Depend on architecture
  - X86: no need
  - Arm, Arm64, Mips, PowrPC, Sparc: special syscalls/instructions to flush/invalidate i-cache
  - Linux/GCC has special function: cacheflush(begin, end)
Code boundary & relocation

- Need to extract instructions overwritten at instrumentation point
  - Determine instruction boundary for X86
  - Use Capstone disassembler
- Need to rewrite instructions to work at relocated place (trampoline)
  - Relative instructions (branch, memory access)
  - Use Capstone disassembler to detect instruction type
  - Use Keystone assembler to recompile
Code analysis

- Avoid overflow to next basic block
  - Analysis to detect if basic block is too small for patching
- Reduce number of registers saved before callback
- Registers to be chosen as scratch registers
Customize on instrumentation

- API to setup calling convention
- User-defined callback
- User-defined trampoline
- User-defined scratch registers
- User-defined save-restore context
- User-defined code to setup callback ars
- Patch hooks in batch, or individual
- User decide when to write/unwrite memory protect
Guided Fuzzer for Embedded
Fuzzer Features

- Coverage guided Fuzzer
- Support closed-source binary for all platforms & architectures
  - Use Skorpio DBI to support all popular embedded CPUs
- Support selective binary fuzzing
- Support persistent mode
- Other enhanced techniques
  - Symbolic Execution to guide fuzzer forward
  - Combine with static binary analysis for smarter/deeper penetration
Fuzzer Design

- Pure software-based
- Cross-platform/architecture
  - Native compiled on embedded systems
- Binary support
  - Full & selected binary fuzzing + Persistent mode
- Fast & stable
  - Stable & support all kind of binaries
  - Order of magnitude faster than DBI/Emulation approaches
Fuzzer Implementation

- Reuse AFL fuzzer - without changing its core design
- AFL-compatible instrumentation
- Static analysis on target binary beforehand
- Inject Skorpio hooks into selected area in target binary at runtime
- At runtime, hook callbacks update execution context in shared memory, like how source-code based instrumentation do
- Near native execution speed, ASLR / threading compatible
Fuzzer Instrumentation

- LD_PRELOAD to dynamically inject instrumentation
  - Take place before main program runs
  - Linux: shared object file (.so)

- Inject hooks at SO initialisation time
  - Can be 100k hooks, so must do as quickly as possible

- Inject forkserver at program entry-point, or at user-defined point
Detect Memory Corruption

- Built-in memory debugging for better control & performance
  - Overload malloc(), free() & co
  - Utilize MMU to detect overflow/underflow bugs (like Off-by-1)
  - Use-after-free bug
Fuzz Network Process

- Run server as fuzzing target
  - Instrument only the code handling input from client
  - Instrument at the finish location to put server in sleep mode, to tell AFL that input handling is done (successfully)
  - Depending on waitpid status to judge the result: sleep or crash/timeout

- Implement client inside the forkserver loop
  - Initialize client socket
  - Connect to server to send mutation input (from AFL)
  - Disconnect after sending data
Demos
Conclusions

- We built our smart guided fuzzer for embedded systems
  - Emulate firmware
  - Cross platforms/architectures
  - Binary-only support
  - Fast + stable
  - Found real impactful bugs in complicated software
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