## gVisor: Modern Linux Sandboxing Technology

sphuket

Li Qiang | Senior Security Engineer, AntGroup 24 Aug 2023

#### THE

#### About me

Platform infrastructure security engineer @AntGroup

Security researcher && Developer

All low-level materials: kernel/virtualization/container/security

Speaker of HITB, Ruxcon, Syscan360





#### the second

#### Agenda

Introduction to sandbox

Linux sandbox mechanisms and solutions

gVisor overview

Build sandbox based gVisor

The future







# **01 | Introduction to sandbox**





#### A THE

## Sandbox-what it is

- A security mechanism for separating running programs
- Mostly used to restrict system resources which untrusted program can access
- A lot of implementation and use cases
- This talk about Linux application sandboxing-process level sandbox
- Sandbox is a very old topic in security area



## Sandbox-what it restricts

- Process
- File system
- Network access
- Capabilities
- CPU/Memory/IO/Devices



## Sandbox-use cases

- Attacker controlled code
- Untrust third party program
- Vulnerable parser: it often has been found vulnerabilities
- Malware analysis







## **Sandbox-realworld needs**

- Should be used in a lot of place
  - Bare mental machine
  - Virtual Machine
  - Container
  - Should defense against lateral movement
    - Network security policy
- Should defense against vertical escape
  - Kernel isolation
  - System security policy



# 02 | Linux sandbox mechanisms and solutions





#### A ILIS

## Mechanism: setuid

- File flag about a file
- When set on exec file, the process will have the file owner's privileges
- Mostly used to do some privileged task by unprivileged user
- Sandbox uses this often because it needs setup sandbox environment
- BTW: setuid root program vuln often leads privilege escalation such as pwnkit





#### A IL

## **Mechanism: ptrace**

- ptrace is a linux syscall
- One process can use ptrace to control another process
- ptrace can change process's memory and control flow
- Mostly used to implement debugger such as gdb
- Sandbox can use ptrace to total control the sandboxed program
- BTW: the famous strace uses ptrace



## **Mechanism: seccomp**

- seccomp is a Linux security facility
- seccomp can be used to restrict the syscall the process can trigger
- The kernel has a lot of function which exposed by syscall
- Most process uses only part of the syscall
- Seccomp can be used to reduce attack surface by limiting the syscall
- Sandbox can use seccomp to restrict the sandboxed process's syscall
  - BTW: seccomp is used in a lot of software such as QEMU



## **Mechanism: capabilities**

- Capabilities is a Linux mechanism which divides privileges into units
- Traditional permission check gives the root user all permissions
- Capabilities allow process have fine-grained access to kernel resources
- CAP\_SYS\_ADMIN, CAP\_SYS\_MODULE, CAP\_NET\_ADMIN and so on
- Sandbox often needs to restrict the sandboxed process's capabilities
- BTW: capabilities is used in container ecosystem heavily

#### 1 TR

#### **Mechanism: chroot**

- chroot is a Linux syscall
- chroot changes the caller process's root directory
- The chrooted process can only see the file system begin with the new root
- Sandbox often needs to provide an isolated filesystem view to sandboxed process
- BTW: chroot is used in container ecosystem heavily

#### A FUR

## **Mechanism: namespaces**

- Namespaces is a Linux mechanism
- Process in different namespaces sees different kernel resources
- PID, NET, MOUNT, UTS, USER, IPC and so on
- Sandbox often uses namespaces to isolate different process
- BTW: Namespaces are a fundamental tech of containers

#### A FUR

## **Mechanisms: cgroup**

- cgroup is a Linux mechanism
- Which restrict the system resource that process can consume
- CPU, Memory, Disk IO, Network, Devices and so on
- Sandbox often uses this to limit sandboxed process's system resource usage
- BTW: cgroup are a fundamental tech of containers

#### 1 TR

## **Mechanisms:** Netfilter

- Netfilter is a kernel subsystem
- Netfilter is used to packet filtering and mangling
- Netfilter provides hook points which allow programs to register
- As Packets go through the stack, every registered hook will get a chance to process it
- Sandbox often uses netfilter/iptables to do network isolation

#### 1 TR

## **Mechanisms: MAC**

- Mandatory Access Control
- MAC is based Linux Security Module(LSM) in linux
- Several implementation: SELinux, Smack, AppArmor
- When the process access the kernel resource, security hook in MAC will be called
- Then do the pass/reject decision according to predefined security policy
- The security policy is quite complicated



## **Solution:** setuid-sandbox

- A sandbox allow the sandboxed program to drop privileges
- UID isolation(namespace)
- Chroot

• More info: https://code.google.com/archive/p/setuid-sandbox/

test@ubuntu:~/setuid-sandbox\$ ./sandboxme -u4 /bin/sh Helper: write to 4 (\$SBX\_D) to chroot the sandboxed process Could not find user suidsandbox Hi from the sandbox! I'm pid=1, uid=1000, gid=1000, dumpable=Y Executing /bin/sh \$ echo C>&\$SBX\_D \$ Helper: I chrooted you ls / sh: 2: ls: not found

## Solution: systemd

- systemd also provide a lot of sandbox options for services
- So the service process has a limited access to system resource
- ProtectSystem=yes: /usr、 /boot read-only
- ProtectDevics=yes: private /dev namespace
- ReadOnlyDirectories= : specify file system access
- PrivateNetwork=yes: no external network access
  - systemd uses namespace/seccomp, even BPF-LSM

[Service] ProtectSystem=strict ProtectHome=yes PrivateDevices=yes ProtectKernelTunables=yes ProtectKernelModules=yes ProtectControlGroups=yes SystemCallFilter=@system-service SystemCallErrorNumber=EPERM NoNewPrivileges=yes PrivateTmp=yes

#### A LET

## Solution: nsjail

- A light-weight process isolation tool
- Making use of Linux namespaces and seccomp-bpf syscall
- Provides isolation of namespaces/filesystem/resource/
- Isolation of network service/local process
- Share the same kernel with host
- No fine-grained network policy

#### A ILIS

## Solution: firejail

- It's just like nsjail
- Restrict the running environment of untrusted application
- By using Linux namespaces, seccomp-bpf and Linux capabilities
- Can sandbox any type of process: servers, graphical applications
- Share the same kernel with host
- No fine-grained network policy



## There are a lot of mechanism and solutions

- But all of them share the same kernel
- Almost(if not all) of them lack of network policy







## So what sandbox do we need?

- Process restriction: defines which process can be launched
- File system access restriction: defines which file can be read/can't be written to
- Networking access restriction: defines which ip/port/domain can be connected to
- Kernel isolation: don't share the kernel with host

Summary: We need strong vertical and horizontal isolation







# **03 | gVisor overview**





#### A HER

## What is gVisor

- gVisor is an application kernel
- Written in Go, memory safety
- Implements a lot of Linux syscall interface, Sentry
- A lot of common Linux app can run on it, not 100%
- Implements the OCI spec





## How gVisor-Defense In Depth

- Sentry: guest kernel, first layer of defense
- Use ptrace/KVM/systrap to intercept syscall
- Gofers: file system access shared
- Sentry/Gofers: both contains several security mechanism
- seccomp/capabilities/chroot/namespace/cgroup,



second layer of defense







## How gVisor protect the host

#### • First layer

- Sentry: handle a lot of syscall request
- Memory safety: no buffer overflow, no UAF

#### Second layer

- Secomp
- Namespace
- Cgroup







## Why not just run sandboxed process in gVisor

- gVisor is used in cloud native/container ecosystem
- It implements OCI spec
- The OCI spec contains several security aspects for container but not all
- The OCI spec has no network-related, it's in CNI networkpolicy
- Summary: gVisor has the vertical isolation but no horizontal isolation

#### 1×13

## gVisor hack

- gVisor is application kernel written in Go
- It's easy to customize to meet our needs
- Let's deny 'ls' execution

```
root@test-VirtualBox:/home/test/test11# ./runsc --debug run abc
/usr/bin/ls
execute: /usr/bin/ls
deny ls
```

sh: 1: /usr/bin/ls: Operation not permitted





## 04 | Build sandbox based gVisor





#### THE P

## Motivation

- We need a sandbox which has vertical isolation and also horizontal isolation
- Traditional solution lack of both
- gVisor implements the defense in depth and has vertical isolation
- But gVisor lack of network policy, horizontal isolation
- We need build it by ourself



## But wait, can we find one

- Firejail issue
- It seems someone also want using gVisor to be an process sandbox

#### [Feature request] gVisor backend #3942



ghost opened this issue on Feb 2, 2021 · 3 comments



ghost commented on Feb 2, 2021 • edited by ghost 👻

gVisor emulates the majority of linux syscalls in userland, providing a respectable sandbox.

gVisor provides a runtime (runsc) capable of running OCI spec containers. https://gvisor.dev/docs/user\_guide/quick\_start/oci/

It should be possible to either modify gVisor to accept a different interface or to have firejail output an OCI config for an OCI runtime.





## But wait, can we find one

- libkrun: a dynamic library
- That allows program to run in virtual machine
- Like gVisor, add vertical isolation
- But lack of horizontal isolation

|          | application  |  |
|----------|--------------|--|
|          |              |  |
|          | Guest kernel |  |
| Guest OS |              |  |
|          | l'h lan ar   |  |
|          | libkrun      |  |
|          |              |  |
|          | libkrunfw    |  |
| runtime  |              |  |
|          |              |  |

## vmjail overview

- vmjail is a process-level sandbox based gVisor
- setuid binary to setup sandbox environment
- It has horizontal isolation
  - Customize the gVisor
  - define network policy
- It has vertical isolation
  - Customize the gVisor
  - define fs/process policy



35



## vmjail architecture

- vmjail security policy
  - Process/file/networking
  - Memory/CPU
  - vmjail policy->OCI spec
- runsc: start Sentry and Gofer
- Sentry: enforce security policy
  - OCI spec
  - Customization


### the second

# **OCI** introduction

- Open Container Initiative: several spec
- Define how containers can be run
- There are several implementation of OCI
- OCI is often used as low level system in cloud native ecosystem
- OCI has several security aspects for container
- vmjail can leverage some of them



### A THE

"destination": "/tmp",

"type": "bind",
"source": "/tmp",

'rbind".

namespaces":

"maskedPaths": [

# vmjail policy -> OCI spec

- vmjail policy contains all of the security policy: file, memory/CPU
- Some of them will be transferred to OCI spec
- Others are implemented in Sentry by ourself





### **File system restriction**

- Define the access permission of file system
- Following policy
  - rootfs read-only: most of them can't be write to
  - writeablePaths: The dir/file can be write to
  - maskedPaths: The dir/file that can't be read by process





# File system-OCI

- OCI has all full spec for file system access
- rootfs can be set to readonly: .root.readonly: true
- writeablePaths: set mounts
- maskedPaths: .linux.maskedPaths





## File system-vmjail

- vmjail can use the OCI spec directly
- vmjail create OCI spec from security policy





### the state

# **Network restriction**

- Define the network action which can perform
- Following policy:
  - No networking at all
  - Limit outgoing IP/port
  - Limit outgoing domain name
  - Limit local listen port

"security": { "network": { "mode": "none", "policy": { "listen": [80], "tcp": ["1.1.1.1:"], "udp": ["\*:53"], "dns": ["\*.npm.org:\*", "\*.python.org:\*"] },





### A THE

# **Network-CNI**

- OCI has no spec for network policy
- Container Network Interface(CNI) define the network policy
- CNI Network policy control the traffic between pods/container
- It is too heavy to use CNI





### the second

# Network-vmjail

- Use gVisor host network stack (--network host)
- Modify the gVisor source code
- When run gVisor, passed it network policy
- When the application trigger network action, check whether it is allowed



### A ILIS

### **Process restriction**

- In most of the situation only one sandboxed program is executed
- No reverse shell, no attack tool can be run
- Executable full path as policy
- Currently it's still in development



## **Process-OCI**

- OCI has no spec for process restriction
- Though we can set the maskedPaths in OCI spec
- It is blacklist, we need whitelist





### A LET

# **Process-vmjail**

- vmjail policy defines the program list that can be executed
- Modify the gVisor code
- When run gVisor, passed it program policy
- When the not-in whitelist program is executed, deny it







# **CPU/Memory/Devices, etc**

- OCI spec has spec for these resource
- vmjail can use the OCI spec directly
- CPU/Memory/Devices/Capabilities



"resources": { "cpu": "period": 100000, "quota": 400000 "memory": "limit": 1073741824 48

### Some issues

#### • Several gVisor issue

- wget can't connect to https websites in host network mode #8156
- statx syscall is not supported before Linux 4.11 #8229
- gVisor upstream don't support maskedPaths
- gVisor cgroup delete delay
- Run as the user
  - getuid, passed to OCI spec
  - gVisor require Linux 4.14
    - Allow rollback to the origin cmd in unsupport kernel

### Example

- An isolation kernel
- rootfs read-only



| root@test-VirtualBox:/home/test/test# ./vmjail -c security.json dmesg           |
|---|
| [ 0.000000] Starting gVisor   |
| [ 0.410024] Mounting deweydecimalfs   |
| [ 0.765850] Daemonizing children  |
| [ 1.124008] Creating cloned children  |
| [ 1.585270] Preparing for the zombie uprising                                   |
| [ 1.742580] Segmenting fault lines  |
| [ 1.753824] Forking spaghetti code  |
| [ 1.782736] Rewriting operating system in Javascript                            |
| [ 2.097989] Constructing home   |
| [ 2.544215] Moving files to filing cabinet                                      |
| [ 2.807455] Waiting for children  |
| [ 3.269826] Setting up VFS2   |
| [ 3.739661] Ready!  |
| root@test-VirtualBox:/home/test/test# ./vmjail -c security.json touch /abc      |
| touch: cannot touch '/abc': Read-only file system                               |
| root@test-VirtualBox:/home/test/test# ./vmjail -c security.json touch /home/abc |
| touch: cannot touch '/home/abc': Read-only file system                          |
|   |



### Example

• writablePaths

#### maskedPaths

"file": {
 "writablePaths": ["/tmp"],
 "maskedPaths":["/var"]
},

51

root@test-VirtualBox:/home/test/src/test# ./vmjail -c security.json touch /abc touch: cannot touch '/abc': Read-only file system root@test-VirtualBox:/home/test/src/test# /vmjail -c security.json\_touch /tmn/abc root@test-VirtualBox:/home/test/src/test# ./vmjail -c security.json echo aaa >> /tmp/abc root@test-VirtualBox:/home/test/src/test# cat /tmp/abc aaa root@test-VirtualBox:/home/test/src/test# ls -lh /var total 48K drwxr-xr-x 2 root root 4.0K 8月 17 08:32 backups 4.0K 7月 22 19:22 cache drwxr-xr-x 16 root root drwxrwsrwt 2 root whoopsie 4.0K 8月 16 13:38 <mark>crash</mark> 4.0K 8月 17 14:42 Lib drwxr-xr-x 71 root root 4.0K 4月 18 2022 local drwxrwsr-x 2 root staff lrwxrwxrwx 1 root root 9 7月 22 13:03 lock -> /run/lock 4.0K 8月 14 19:15 log drwxrwxr-x 13 root syslog 4.0K 4月 19 2022 mail drwxrwsr-x 2 root mail drwxrwsrwt 2 root whoopsie 4.0K 4月 19 2022 metrics 4.0K 4月 19 2022 opt drwxr-xr-x 2 root root 4 7月 22 13:03 run -> /run lrwxrwxrwx 1 root root 4.0K 7月 23 12:49 snap drwxr-xr-x 11 root root 4.0K 7月 22 13:05 spool drwxr-xr-x 6 root root drwxrwxr<u>wt 11 root root</u> 4 0K 8月 17 15·25 Hmm root@test-VirtualBox:/home/test/src/test# ./vmjail -c security.json ls /var /var contatort Victual Port / home / tort / crc / tort# /

### A IL

# **Example-networking**

• Can't access not-in the whitelist domain

"security": { "network": { "mode": "hostwithpolicy". "policy": { "listen": [80], "tcp": ["1.1.1.1:"], "udp": ["\*:53"]. "dns": ["\*.npm.org:\*", "\*.python.org:\*"] },

root@test-VirtualBox:/home/test/test# wget pypi.org --2023-08-04 10:36:46-- http://pypi.org/ Resolving pypi.org (pypi.org)... 151.101.64.223, 151.101.0.223, 151.101.192.223, ... Connecting to pypi.org (pypi.org)|151.101.64.223|:80... connected. HTTP request sent, awaiting response... 301 Moved Permanently Location: https://pypi.org/ [following] --2023-08-04 10:36:46-- https://pypi.org/ Connecting to pypi.org (pypi.org)|151.101.64.223|:443... connected. HTTP request sent, awaiting response... 200 OK Length: 23162 (23K) [text/html] Saving to: 'index.html.1'

index.html.1

100%[=====>] 22.62K --.-KB/s

2023-08-04 10:36:46 (2.61 MB/s) - 'index.html.1' saved [23162/23162]

root@test-VirtualBox:/home/test/test# ./vmjail -c security.json wget pypi.org
URL transformed to HTTPS due to an HSTS policy
--2023-08-04 10:36:54-- https://pypi.org/
Resolving pypi.org (pypi.org)|2a04:4e42:600::223, 2a04:4e42:200::223, 2a04:4e42:400::223, ...
Connecting to pypi.org (pypi.org)|2a04:4e42:200::223|:443... failed: Operation not permitted.
Connecting to pypi.org (pypi.org)|2a04:4e42:200::223|:443... failed: Operation not permitted.
Connecting to pypi.org (pypi.org)|2a04:4e42:223|:443... failed: Operation not permitted.
Connecting to pypi.org (pypi.org)|2a04:4e42:223|:443... failed: Operation not permitted.
Connecting to pypi.org (pypi.org)|2a04:4e42:223|:443... failed: Operation not permitted.
Connecting to pypi.org (pypi.org)|151.101.128.223|:443... failed: Operation not permitted.
Connecting to pypi.org (pypi.org)|151.101.192.223|:443... failed: Operation not permitted.
Connecting to pypi.org (pypi.org)|151.101.64.223|:443... failed: Operation not permitted.

# **Example-networking**

• Can access the whitelist domain

"security": { "network": { "mode": "hostwithpolicy". "policy": { "listen": [80], "tcp": ["1.1.1.1:"], "udp": <u>["\*:53"]</u>. "dns": ["\*.npm.org:\*", "\*.python.org:\*"] },

^Croot@test-VirtualBox:/home/test/test# ./vmjail -c security.json wget npm.org --2023-08-04 10:37:17-- http://npm.org/ Resolving npm.org (npm.org)... 72.167.71.164 Connecting to npm.org (npm.org)|72.167.71.164|:80... connected. HTTP request sent, awaiting response... 301 Moved Permanently Location: https://npm.org/ [following] --2023-08-04 10:37:18-- https://npm.org/ Connecting to npm.org (npm.org)|72 167.71.164|:443... connected. HTTP request sent, awaiting response... 200 OK Length: unspecified [text/html] index.html.2: Read-only file system

Cannot write to 'index.html.2' (Success). root@test-VirtualBox:/home/test/test# ./vmjail -c security.json wget python.org --2023-08-04 10:37:26-- http://python.org/ Resolving python.org (python.org).. 151.101.0.223, 151.101.128.223, 151.101.64.223, ... Connecting to python.org (python.org)|151.101.0.223|:80... connected. HTTP request sent, awaiting response... 301 Moved Permanently Location: https://www.python.org/ [following] --2023-08-04 10:37:26-- https://www.python.org/ Resolving www.python.org (www.python.org)... 151.101.76.223, 2a04:4e42:12::223 Connecting to www.python.org (www.python.org)|151.101.76.223|:443... connected. HTTP request sent, awaiting response... 200 OK Length: 50260 (49K) [text/html] index.html.2: Read-only file system

Cannot write to 'index.html.2' (Success).



# Example-networking No network at all

},
"security": {
 "network": {
 "mode": "none",
 "policy": {
 "listen": [80],
 "tcp": ["1.1.1.1:"],
 "udp": ["\*:53"],
 "dns": ["\*.npm.org:\*", "\*.python.org:\*"]
 },
 }
},

root@test-VirtualBox:/home/test/test# ./vmjail -c security.json wget python.org --2023-08-04 10:42:17-- http://python.org/ Resolving python.org (python.org)... failed: Temporary failure in name resolution. wget: unable to resolve host address 'python.org' root@test-VirtualBox:/home/test/test# ./vmjail -c security.json ip a root@test-VirtualBox:/home/test/test#

.54



# **05 | The futurre**





### THE P

# More runtime

- Currently the gVisor-based sandbox can be perfect from security perspective
- But the world is not all about security
- vmjail is suffered in some performance-critical scenes
- Some task care performance more than security
- Can we add more choices to vmjail?
- runlc, light container, based traditional tech



# Unify network policy enforce

- We can add a runtime which leverages the traditional mechanism
- But we need to find a way to enforce network policy
- User space network: slirp, passt
- Packet filter in user space network stack
- Like a CNI, but more low level





# gVisor for analysis sandbox

- There is another kind of sandbox which needs to monitor the behavior
- As we can see, the gVisor can inspect everything of process
- Process/Networking/File system behavior
- We can do malware analysis using gVisor root@test-VirtualBox:/home/test/gvisor# ./bazel-bin/example<u>s/seccheck/server\_cc</u> Socket address /tmp/gvisor events.sock Connection accepted Start => id: "abc" cwd: "/" args: "sh" E Open sysno: 257 fd: -100 pathname: "/etc/ld.so.cache" flags: 524208 X Open exit { result: 3 } sysno: 257 fd: -100 pathname: "/etc/ld.so.cache" flags: 52428 E Open sysno: 257 fd: -100 pathname: "/lib/x86\_64-linux-gnu/libc.so.6" flags: 524288 X Open exit { result: 3 } sysno: 257 fd: -100 pathname: "/lib/x86 64 linux-gnu/libc.so E Read context data { time ns: 1690967929600693083 thread id: 1 container id: "abc X Read exit { result: 832 } fd: 3 count: 832 E Read context\_data { time\_ns: 1690967929610689075 thread\_id: <u>1 container id: "abc</u> root@test-VirtualBox:/home/test/test11# ./runsc --pod-init-config=../gvisor/exam X Read exit { result: 3 } count: 8192 ples/seccheck/pod init.json run abc CloneInfo => created\_thread\_id: 2 created\_thread\_group\_id: 2 created\_thread\_start\_time\_ns ls E Open sysno: 257 fd: -100 pathname: "/etc/ld.so.cache" flags: 524288 bin X Open exit { result: 3 } sysno: 257 fd: -100 pathname: "/etc/ld.so.cache" flags: 5242 boot Open sysno: 257 fd: -100 pathname: "/lib/x86 64-linux-gnu/libselinux.so.1" flags: 52428 cdror





# The final picture

- vmjail will have two modes
- One for enforce security policy
  - VM-based runtime: gVisor, focus security
  - namespace/cgroup-based runtime: runlc, focus performance
  - Both will have full vertical and horizontal security policy
- One for analysis program

### A ILIS

### Summary

- Currently sandbox lack some of the critical security feature
- gVisor is a full sandbox technology
- gVisor lack of several feature to be a security sandbox
- gVisor can be easily customized to meet the security needs
- We can build a powerful process-level sandbox which has strong vertical and horizontal isolation based gVisor



# **THANK YOU!**

Li Qiang, liq3ea@gmail.com



